

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/937,965	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John E Chapman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856	

**All Participants:**

(1) John E Chapman.

(2) Mr. Pavelko.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) Mr. Robins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendment of claim 24 to provide antecedent basis for electrode connecting lines. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)